	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/681,416	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) <u>Carolyn Elmore</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 20-27 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To fithe interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ	The examiner will provide a erecord of the substance of	written summary of the substar of the interview, since the intervi
	·	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Elmore was contacted to discuss the status of the application. While claims 1-19 were in condition for allowance, claims 20-27 were not. Ms. Elmore agreed to canceling claims 20-27 in favor of issuing claims 1-19. An examiner's amendment was suggested to expedite prosecution (attached).